

as many as appropriate.

INDIANA ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

State Form 53475 (R6 / 2-19)
INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Office of Program Support

MC 64-00, Room IGCN 1316 100 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46204-2251 Telephone: (800) 988-7901 FAX: (317) 233-5627 E-mail: esp@idem.IN.gov

Please use this form if you are a member of the Indiana Environmental Stewardship Program (ESP) to report on progress toward objectives and targets AND certify ESP requirements continue to be achieved. Indiana ESP facilities must submit an Annual Performance Report (APR) by April 1st of every year, for each calendar year in which the entity has been a member for at least three (3) full months. Membership terms are renewed every four (4) years through submitting your APR. Your APR should be reviewed and signed by a senior manager at your facility prior to submittal. Once signed, e-mail the APR to IDEM at esp@idem.IN.gov. Please do not include any confidential business information in your annual performance report. Public access laws require IDEM to make the APR publicly available, which may include posting all portions of your report on the Indiana ESP Web site. If you have any questions, please

contact IDEM at esp@idem.IN.gov or (800)	988-7901.		
SECTION A	FACILITY INFO	DRMATION	
Name of facility Schlage Lock Company LLC			
Name of parent company (if applicable) Allegion PLC			
Street address (number and street) 2720 Tobey Drive			
City / State / ZIP code Indianapolis IN 46219			
Website of facility / company www.allegion.com			
	CONTACT INF	ORMATION	
Name of Contact (Mr. / Mrs. / Ms. / Dr.) Joseph Jones		Title EHS Manager	
Telephone number (317) 429-2108	FAX number ()	E-mail address joseph.jones@allegion.com	
Mailing address (if different from facility add 2720 Tobey Drive	ress)		
City / State / ZIP Code Indianapolis, IN 46219			
	REPORTING	PERIOD	
Reporting period dates from prior calendar y 01/01/2019 - 12/31/2019	/ear (<i>mm/dd/yyyy</i> – <i>mm/dd/yyyy</i>)		
1a. Is this the fourth Annual Performance ☐ Yes—If yes, answer question 1b. ☑ No—If no, skip to the "Change in Ir			
Do you wish to renew your Indiana Environmental Stewardship Program membership? ☐ Yes—If yes, please complete all sections of this annual report. ☐ No—If no, please complete all sections of this annual report except for Section F.			
	CHANGE IN INI	FORMATION	
In your ESP application and, perhaps, in pre changes or additions to your facility's list of		you described what your facility does or makes. Have there beer	n any
☑ Yes—If yes, please describe them:			
SECTION B	PUBLIC OUTREACH AND PI	ERFORMANCE REPORTING	
Why do we need this information? IDEM needs to know how environmental inf public.	ormation was shared with the	What do you Describe how the facility ha p l ans to share environmenta	as shared and
,		rting period to interact with the community on environmental issu Meetings. The company also continues to help facilitate and instruct courses during IIOA V	
Please indicate which of the following methods your facility plans to use to make its ESP Annual Performance Report available to the public. Please check			

 \square Web site (http://www_in.gov/idem/prevention/2400.htm) \square Open house \square Meetings \square Press releases \square Other

SECTION C

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ASSESSMENT

Why do we need this information?
Facilities need to have implemented an EMS that meets certain criteria and use an ISO 14001 EMS Lead Auditor at least every

What do you need to do? Answer the following questions about your EMS.

	ty-six (36) months to a				
1.	<u> </u>				
2.	Name, title, and organization of ISO 14001 EMS Lead Auditor who conducted the most recent EMS assessment: Patti Arms, Audit Leader TUV Rheinland				
3.	Is the date of the most recent EMS assessment performed by an ISO 14001 EMS Lead Auditor within the past thirty-six (36) months?				
	✓ Yes—If yes, skip to Question 4.				
	No—If no, please have your ISO 14001 EMS Lead Auditor complete and sign the following checklist, indicating whether or not your EMS meets the listed criteria for ESP membership:				
	Yes No	Evidence of senior management support, commitment, and approval.			
	☐ Yes ☐ No	A written environmental policy directed toward compliance, pollution prevention, and continuous improvement.			
	Yes No	Identification of the environmental aspects at the entity.			
	Yes No	Prioritization of the environmental aspects and a determination of those aspects deemed significant considering, at the minimum, environmental impacts and applicable laws and regulations.			
	Yes No	Established priorities, and environmental objectives and targets for continuous improvement in environmental performance and for ensuring compliance with applicable environmental laws, regulations, and permit conditions. Objectives and targets must go beyond current legal requirements and specify the environmental media, types of pollution to be prevented or reduced, implementation activities, and projected time frames.			
	Yes No	An established community outreach mechanism that includes identifying and responding to community concerns; informing the community of important matters that affect the community; and reporting on the EMS, including reporting to the public on the environmental policy and significant aspects.			
	Yes No	Incorporation of environmental and pollution prevention planning in the development of new products, processes, and services and modifications of existing processes.			
	Yes No	Evidence of clear responsibility for implementation, training, monitoring, EMS maintenance, taking corrective action, and ensuring compliance with applicable environmental laws, regulations, and permit conditions.			
	Yes No	Documentation of the implementation procedures and the results of implementation.			
	Yes No	Appropriate written EMS procedures.			
	Yes No	An annual evaluation of the EMS with written results provided to senior management and affected employees.			
	Signature of ISO 14	001 EMS Lead Auditor Date (month, day, year)			
4.	Were any deficiencie	es found during the most recent EMS assessment?			
	Yes—If yes, describe any deficiencies found and the corrective action taken to address each deficiency:				
	☐ No				
5.	5. What type of protocol was used to perform the independent EMS assessment? ☐ ISO 14001:2015 Certified audit ☐ ESP Independent Assessment Protocol ☐ Other (please specify):				
6.	✓ Yes—If yes	to a recognized standard? s, what standard does the EMS follow (please provide a copy of the most recent certificate)? ISO 14001:2015 Responsible Care EMS Responsible Care 14001			
	☐ No				

SEC	CTION C	ENVIRONMENTAL I	MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ASSI	ESSMENT	
7.	When was the last Senior Mana Month / Year: _09/2019				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Who headed the review (name and title)? Joseph Jones, EHS Manager			
8.	When did your facility last conduct an internal or corporate environmental compliance audit? Do not include inspections or site visits by regulatory organizations.				
	Scope of the compliance a	udit: Legal and Other Requirements (Compliance Obligations)		
	Month(s) / Year(s): Janu	ary 2018	Cornorate: Dan	Stevens - Senior Global Environmental Specialist	
9.		enced within the facility during th if any, have been made to your		le emergency and contingency plans detailed in the ncy plans?	
N/A					
10.	Has your facility corrected all insassessments?	stances of potential environment	al non-compliance and EMS no	n-conformance identified during your audits and other	
		ze corrective actions taken and c			
	improvements made as a result compliance audit(s).	of your EMS assessment(s) or	plans to correct the Corrective actions	se instances. are currently being completed; See C.4 and Attachment	
			A.		
0=6					
	CTION D y do we need this information?		IONAL INFORMATION	What do you need to do?	
This	information will help IDEM to effe	ectively manage the		Answer the questions as completely as possible.	
1.	ironmental Stewardship Program In addition to ESP, please list er		voluntary programs participated	d in during the past twelve (12) months.	
ISO	 In addition to ESP, please list environmental awards received or voluntary programs participated in during the past twelve (12) months. ISO 14001, OHSAS 18001, Partners for Pollution Prevention, OSHA VPP Star 				
2.	Has your facility taken advantag consider.	e of any ESP incentives? If so,	please describe the implementa	ation process and list additional benefits IDEM should	
Yes		otification of routine inspections.	Low routine inspection priority	. Some reduced reporting frequency.	
3.	If your facility was not registered has ESP been instrumental in a		r to becoming an ESP member,	has ESP helped you to pursue registration? If so, how	
N/A					
	CTION E		IMPROVEMENT INITIATIVE R		
	y do we need this information? ilities need to share the results of		Reference Sec	What do you need to do? stion F for "Category" and "Indicator" options to	
initia	initiative that was pursued during the reporting period. IDEM needs to complete this section. Summarize your facility's progress on achieving				
repo	report cumulative program reduction results. the initiative you identified in the application or last year's APR. For assistance, please call (800) 988-7901 or email esp@idem.IN.gov .				
	Initiative #1				
	egory 1: Water Use	Baseline	Current	Cost Savings	
Indi	cator 1: Total Water Used	(indicate measurement unit)	(indicate measurement unit)	Jook Garmige	
Cal	endar year	2017	2019		
Acti	ual quantity (per year)	19,016,493 gallons	18,995,720 gallons		
Pro	duction unit (select one)	Earned Labor Hours X Other specify (e.g. Ga		roduction lbs.	
Pro	duction Quantity	1,473,108 hours	1,522,559 hours	NA	
Nor	malization factor (Current year pr	<u> </u>	, ,	·	
	Normalized quantity (Actual current year quantity - Actual baseline quantity) x Normalization factor -21,470 gallons				

Briefly describe how you achieved improvements for environmental initiative #1 or, if relevant, any circumstances that delayed progress.

December 2019, so had limited impact on data for 2019)

Restroom renovation (plumbing fixture replacement/upgrade); improved process controls; flow restrictors on process tank fills; reduced flow rate of continuous once-through cooling water on hydraulic power units from 12 gallons per minute to 3 gallons per minute (this improvement was implemented in

SECTION E ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT INITIATIVE RESULTS CONTINUED				
Initiative #2				
Category 2: Energy Use	Baseline	Current	Cost Savings	
Indicator 2: Electricity	(indicate measurement unit)	(indicate measurement unit)	<u> </u>	
Calendar year	2018	2019		
Actual quantity (per year)	12,728,300 kWh	12,328,440 kWh		
Production unit (select one)	Production unit (select one) Consider the select one Select one Selection Units Selection Units Production Ibs. Consider the selection of the selection Units Selection Ibs. Consider the se			
Production Quantity	1,472,662 hours	1,522,559 hours	NA	
Normalization factor (Current year	r production ÷ Baseline year prod	uction) 1.03		
Normalized quantity (Actual curre	nt year quantity - Actual baseline	quantity) x Normalization factor	-411,856 kWh	
All contributing factors causing thi replacement of fluorescent light be	s reduction in electricity use are no	ot known. However, some contri	rcumstances that delayed progress. buting factors have included the continued methodical efficiency improvements on the powder coat cure oven.	
Initiative #3	T			
Category 3: Energy Use Indicator 3: Natural gas	Baseline (indicate measurement unit)	Current (indicate measurement unit)	Cost Savings	
Calendar year	2018	2019		
Actual quantity (per year)	44,191 MMBtu	39,997 MMBtu		
Production unit (select one)	Earned Labor Hours X Other specify (e.g. Gallo		uction lbs.	
Production Quantity	1,472,662 hours	1,522,559 hours	NA	
Normalization factor (Current year	r production ÷ Base l ine year prod	uction) 1.03		
Normalized quantity (Actual curre	nt year quantity - Actual baseline	quantity) x Normalization factor	-4,320 MMBtu	
Briefly describe how you achieved improvements for environmental initiative #3 or, if relevant, any circumstances that delayed progress. All contributing factors causing this reduction in natural gas use are not known. However, some contributing factors have included reduced operation of air makeup units and efficiency improvements on the powder coat cure oven and powder coat rack cleaning oven.				
Briefly describe the <i>impacts or wastes</i> eliminated resulting from the environmental initiative(s). If multiple initiatives, please indicate which specifically. Reduced greenhouse gas emissions.				
2. Are there other best management practices (BMPs) you can share correlating to your initiative(s)? N/A				
3. If the objectives and targets associated with the environmental improvement initiative(s) were not attained, please verify continued progress toward the environmental initiative(s). If multiple initiatives, please indicate which specifically. N/A				
4. Please provide a narrative summary of progress made toward <i>qualitative</i> , <i>significant</i> EMS objectives and targets, if any. N/A				
5. Please list any state, U.S. EPA, or other partnership programs to which you are reporting this data (e.g., Energy Star, Project XL). N/A				
6. Is your entity willing to share the Partners for Pollution Prevention			t practices (BMPs) at the ESP Annual Meeting and/or a	

SECTION F

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT INITIATIVE

Why do we need this information?
Facilities need to show they are committed to improving their environmental performance.

What do you need to do?
Refer to the Environmental Performance
Table and answer the following questions.

1. Select the appropriate boxes in the following table to indicate the **category** and **indicator(s)** that represents the next environmental improvement initiative selected by your facility. For the category and indicator selected, list the **baseline year** (e.g., 2015) and the **future year** (e.g., 2016). Next, list the **baseline annual quantity** (e.g., 5 tons) and **future annual quantity** (e.g., 2 tons) you are committing to achieve by the end of the future year.

Category	Indicator	Baseline Year 20 19	Future Year 20 20	Unit
☐ Material Procurement	☐ Recycled content			Pounds, tons
	☐ Hazardous/toxic components			Pounds, tons
Suppliers' Environmental Performance	☐ Specify indicator:			As specified for the particular indicator
	☐ Materials used			Pounds, tons
	☐ Hazardous materials used			Pounds, tons
☐ Material Use	Ozone depleting substances used			CFC-11 equivalent pounds
	☐ Total packaging materials used			Pounds, tons
☐ Water Use	☐ Total water used			Gallons
	☐ Electricity			kWh / MWh, Btu / MMBtu
	☐ Steam			kWh / MWh, gallons, ft ³
	☐ Natural gas			Btu / MMBtu
	☐ Diesel			Gallons
	☐ Propane / LPG			Btu / MMBtu, gallons
☐ Energy Use	☐ Gasoline			Gallons
	☐ Solar			kWh / MWh
	☐ Wind			kWh / MWh
	☐ Landfill gas			Btu / MMBtu
	☐ Combined heat and power			kWh / MWh, Btu / MMBtu
	☐ Other:			
	☐ Land and habitat conservation			Square feet, acres
Land and Habitat	☐ Community land revitalization			Square feet, acres
	☐ Total GHGs			MTCO2E
	□VOCs			Pounds, tons
	□ NOx, SOx, PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀ , or CO			Pounds, tons
☐ Air Emissions	☐ Air toxics			Pounds, tons
	Odor			European Odour Units
	Radiation			Curies, Becquerels
	☐ Dust			Pounds, tons
	☐ COD or BOD			Pounds, tons
	Toxics			Pounds, tons
□ Discharges to Weter	☐ Total suspended solids			Pounds, tons
☐ Discharges to Water	□ Nutrients			Pounds, tons of N or P
	☐ Sediment from runoff			Pounds, tons
	☐ Pathogens			MPN/ml, CFU/ml
	☐ Landfill			Pounds, tons
☐ Non-hazardous Waste	☐ Incineration			Pounds, tons
☐ Hazardous Waste	☐ Reused/recycled off-site			Pounds, tons, gallons
	☐ Other:			Pounds, tons, gallons
□ Noise	□ Noise			dBA
☐ Vibration	☐ Vibration			Inches per second
	☐ Expected lifetime energy use			kWh / MWh, Btu / MMBtu
☐ Products	☐ Expected lifetime water use			Gallons
	Expected lifetime waste to air, water, or land from product use			Pounds, tons
	☐ Waste to air, water, or land from disposal or recovery			Pounds, tons

SECTION F FUTUR	E YEAR ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEME CONTINUED	NT INITIATIVE		
 If the environmental improvement initiative(s) will be qu Revise and renew the Management of Change (MOC) proc new or modified activities, products, and services. This initi changes by incorporating a more cross-functional approach What activities or process changes do you plan to unde process line, employee training)? Documentation updates, employee training, internal auditing 	ess of the EMS to ensure aspects/impacts of ative should help us identify potential future to change management and earlier conside rtake at your facility to accomplish your initi	quantitative initiative op	portunities related to future	
 Does this initiative address a significant aspect in your local Yes No—If no, please explain why you believe this 		mental improvement init	iative:	
CERTIFICATION AND PLEDGE				
On behalf of (name of facility) Schlage Lock Company LLC I certify that the information contained in this Annual Performance Report and attachments is accurate to the best of my knowledge and that this facility is, to the best of my knowledge and based on reasonable inquiry, currently in compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local environmental requirements, or has a corrective action program in place to attain compliance. We, Schlage Lock Company LLC, commit to maintaining the principles and goals outlined in our Environmental Management System for our facility's Indiana Environmental Stewardship Program status. We agree to strive for full compliance with all regulations promulgated by the U.S. EPA, state, or local jurisdictions. We agree to promote the Indiana Environmental Stewardship Program and to share our success stories with other facilities. We understand that we must meet the requirement of implementing one (1) new, independent environmental improvement initiative each year of membership (for a total of four (4) initiatives), that the Annual Performance Report must be submitted to IDEM by April 1st of each year, and that we must reapply to the Indiana Environmental Stewardship Program every four (4) years. I understand that the information provided in this Annual Performance Report will be public record. I am the senior facility manager or authorized facility signatory, and fully authorized to execute this statement on behalf of the corporation or other legal entity whose facility is submitting this Annual Performance Report.				
Signature 7 1974			Date (<i>month, day, year</i>) 05/08/2020	
Printed signature Joseph Tyler Jones		Title EHS Manager		

Attachment A - Corrective Actions

Finding Description:

(Major) - ISO 14001:2015 - 6.1.2

Non-conformity statement:

 The site has not fully and consistently implemented an effective process for managing change to allow EHS weigh-in so that aspects/impacts considering a lifecycle perspective may be made for new or modified activities, products and services.

• Objective Evidences:

New Chemical Review form – Anodal Etch Additive Liquid (for Anodizing Process – 25 Nov 2019) and
 Ferrocote 61 A US (29 Aug 2019); Management of Change EHS Checklist for Extrusion Polishing Unit (10 Jan 2020); the EHS section in the Management of Change checklist is inconsistently used

Root Cause Determination:

Problem Statement: MOC not fully implemented or effective.

Why: MOC processes used sporadically

Why: EHS team not always notified of changes

Why: MOC process not clearly defined

Why: MOC not supported or enforced historically

Why:

Root Cause(s): MOC historically not supported or enforced

Correction:

1. Communicate the need for cross-functional reviews during change management.

Corrective Action(s):

- 1. Revise and renew MOC change process/written program.
- 2. Complete training on new program for affected personnel.
- 3. Update EWI-00032, Evaluating EHS Impacts of Change to reflect new program.
- 4. Update EHS-MS Manual to reflect MOC requirements.
- 5. Upload all EHS related MOC checklists to doc management system.

Owner(s): Tyler Jones

Target Completion Date(s): April 1, 2020

Finding Description:

(Minor) - ISO 14001:2015 - 8.1

- Non-conformity statement:
 - The organization did not document the results of its corrective actions.
- Objective Evidences:
 - Polishing Dust Collection Inspection Log for January 2020 organization's established criteria requires daily inspection on each shift and after power outages (this was/is not a permit requirement). Last recorded input was on 8 Jan 2020 and only 2nd shift data was filled in up to that date (this is for operation of the air pollution control device)

Root Cause Determination:

Problem Statement: Log wasn't completed as it was previously.

Why: Log was owned by a single idividual

Why: Log was not passed down after the primary person retired

Why: Log was redundant and no longer needed for compliance assurance

Why: System was interlocked electronically (polishing units won't turn on unless dust collector is on)

Why: Air Permit compliance gap was identified and preventative action implemented

Root Cause(s): Poka-yoke installed to prevent compliance issue, therefore the log was no longer reviewed.

Correction: Remove hard copies of log from polishing area.

Corrective Action(s):

1. Remove log from all reference documents.

Owner(s): Steve Shaver

Target Completion Date(s):

Finding Description:

(Minor) - ISO 14001:2015 - 10.2

- Non-conformity statement:
 - o The organization did not document the results of its corrective action.

• Objective Evidences:

 There is no documented verification of effectiveness for the corrective actions implemented in response to the 2 EMS-related nonconformities issued during the 3rd-party audit conducted in 2019. (Obj and Target planning failure, outdated procedure posting in WWT.)

Root Cause Determination:

Problem Statement: No documented evidence of effectiveness check

Why: site not clear how to document an effectiveness check for administrative task

Why: inexperienced in effectiveness checks and their documentation requirements

Why: believed the act of planning and obj/target tracking sheet creation would meet the requirement

Why:

Why:

Root Cause(s): Site not clear on the requirements for documentation of effectiveness checks.

Correction:

Corrective Action(s):

- 1. Update EHS-MS manual to reflect effectiveness check requirements.
- 2. Update all appropriate written programs (including ESOP-00012, SI-01050, others?) to explain use of "closure verification required" button in ATS to be used as effectiveness check. When closing the action, user must include comments on what was done, audited, checked, etc. to verify the corrective action was sustained. Include potential thresholds for when box must be checked (recordable, serious injury/NM, environmental compliance gap, audit non-conformance, when training is required, etc.). Include guidance for the date of verification following the corrective action.

Owner(s): T Jones, A Coughenour

Target Completion Date(s): March 13, 2020